LPTHE-93-42
hep-th/9308060

arXiv:hep-th/9308060v1 12 Aug 1993

Dynamical r-matrices for the Elliptic Calogero-Moser Model

E. K. Sklyanin *
Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Energies †
Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Tour 16, 1^{er} étage, 4 place Jussieu,
75252 Paris cedex 05, France

August 12, 1993

Abstract. For the integrable N-particle Calogero-Moser system with elliptic potential it is shown that the Lax operator found by Krichever possesses a classical r-matrix structure. The r-matrix is a natural generalisation of the matrix found recently by Avan and Talon (hep-th/9210128) for the trigonometric potential. The r-matrix depends on the spectral parameter and only half of the dynamical variables (particles' coordinates). It satisfies a generalized Yang-Baxter equation involving another dynamical matrix.

1 Introduction

The elliptic Calogero-Moser model is the system of N one-dimensional particles interacting via two-particle potential

$$V(q_{\alpha\beta}) \equiv \wp(q_{\alpha\beta}), \qquad q_{\alpha\beta} \equiv q_\alpha - q_\beta, \tag{1}$$

$\wp$ being the Weierstrass function [1] with the periods $2\omega_1$, $2\omega_2$. In terms of the canonical variables p_α, q_α ($\alpha = 1, \dots, N$)

$$\{p_\alpha, p_\beta\} = \{q_\alpha, q_\beta\} = 0, \qquad \{p_\alpha, q_\beta\} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \tag{2}$$

the Hamiltonian of the system is expressed as

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} p_\alpha^2 + \sum_{\alpha\neq\beta} V(q_{\alpha\beta}), \tag{3}$$

(we deliberately omit a coupling constant which always can be removed by rescaling).

*On leave from Steklov Mathematical Institute, Fontanka 27, St.Petersburg 191011, Russia.
†Laboratoire associé au CNRS n^o 280

The Hamiltonian (3) with the potential (1) is known to be completely integrable [2, 3, 4, 5]. The most effective way to construct the complete set of commuting integrals of motion is to represent them as the spectral invariants of a Lax operator — certain $N \times N$ matrix depending on the dynamical variables and, may be, on an additional parameter u called spectral. As shown in [6], for the commutativity of the spectral invariants $\mathrm{tr}L(u)^n$ of the Lax operator it is necessary and sufficient that the Poisson bracket $\{L^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1}(u), L^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_2}(v)\}$ could be represented in the commutator form

$$\{L^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1}(u), L^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_2}(v)\} = \sum_{\gamma_1\gamma_2} \quad r^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}_{\gamma_1\beta_2}(u,v)L^{\gamma_1}_{\beta_1}(u) - L^{\alpha_1}_{\gamma_1}(u)r^{\gamma_1\alpha_2}_{\beta_1\beta_2}(u,v)$$
$$-r^{\alpha_2\alpha_1}_{\gamma_2\beta_1}(v,u)L^{\gamma_2}_{\beta_2}(v) + L^{\alpha_2}_{\gamma_2}(v)r^{\gamma_2\alpha_1}_{\beta_2\beta_1}(v,u) \qquad (4)$$

or, using the notation [7]

$$L^{(1)} \equiv L \otimes \mathbb{1}, \qquad L^{(2)} \equiv \mathbb{1} \otimes L,$$

as

$$\{L^{(1)}(u), L^{(2)}(v)\} = [r^{(12)}, L^{(1)}(u)] - [r^{(21)}, L^{(2)}(v)] \qquad (5)$$

where $r^{(12)}$ is an $N^2 \times N^2$ matrix depending, generally speaking, on the dynamical variables, and $r^{(21)}$ is

$$r^{(21)}(u,v) \equiv Pr^{(12)}(v,u)P, \qquad (6)$$

P being the permutation: $Px \otimes y = y \otimes x$.

In contrast with the well-studied case of purely numerical r-matrices [7], no general theory of dynamical r-matrices exists at the moment, apart few concrete examples and observations [6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. Still, the collection of examples is rather sparse, and any new example of dynamical r-matrix could possibly contribute to better understanding of their algebraic and geometric nature.

In [10] the dynamical r-matrix has been calculated for an L-operator without spectral parameter corresponding to Calogero-Moser model with the trigonometric potential $V(q) = 1/\sin^2 q$ or $1/\sinh^2 q$. In [11] an elegant interpretation of the r-matrix was found in terms of hamiltonian reduction. However, the L-operator used in [10, 11] lacks the spectral parameter. Though the absence of the spectral parameter is not important for the construction of commuting integrals of motion, the spectral parameter is indispensable for integrating equations of motion and constructing the action-angle variables. The proper way of introducing a spectral parameter into L-operator was found by Krichever [14].

In the present paper the dynamical r-matrix is found for the Krichever's L-operator corresponding to the elliptical potential (1). The r-matrix found previously in [10] is shown to be a degenerate case of our r-matrix. We derive also an equation satisfied by the dynamical r-matrix which generalises the classical Yang-Baxter equation satisfied by numerical r-matrices. Our equation has a slightly more general form that the equation for a dynamical r-matrix proposed recently in [13].

2 L-operator and dynamical r-matrix

Krichever's L-operator [14] is the $N \times N$ matrix given by the formula

$$L^\alpha_\beta(u) = p_\alpha \delta_{\alpha\beta} + iQ(u, q_{\alpha\beta})(1 - \delta_{\alpha\beta}), \tag{7}$$

or, in terms of the basic matrices E^α_β

$$(E^\alpha_\beta)^{\alpha'}_{\beta'} = \delta_{\alpha\alpha'}\delta_{\beta\beta'},$$

by

$$L(u) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} p_\alpha E^\alpha_\alpha + i \sum_{\alpha\neq\beta} Q(u, q_{\alpha\beta}) E^\alpha_\beta, \tag{8}$$

where $Q(u, q)$ is expressed in terms of Weierstrass σ functions

$$Q(u, q) \equiv \frac{\sigma(u + q)}{\sigma(u)\sigma(q)} \tag{9}$$

For what follows, it suffices to know that $\sigma(z)$ is the entire function defined by the product [1]

$$\sigma(z) = z \prod_{m,n\neq 0} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\omega_{mn}}\right) \exp\left[\frac{z}{\omega_{mn}} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{z}{\omega_{mn}}\right)^2\right]$$

where $\omega_{mn} = 2m\omega_1 + 2n\omega_2$, $2\omega_{1,2}$ being a pair of periods. The ζ and $\wp$ functions are introduced through the derivatives of σ

$$\zeta(z) = \frac{\sigma'(z)}{\sigma(z)}, \qquad \wp(z) = -\zeta'(z) \tag{10}$$

The translations of z by $2\omega_{1,2}$ and the reflection $z \to -z$ act on the functions as follows

$$\sigma(z + 2\omega_l) = -\sigma(z) \exp[2(z + \omega_l)\zeta(\omega_l)],$$

$$\zeta(z + 2\omega_l) = \zeta(z) + 2\zeta(\omega_l), \qquad \wp(z + 2\omega_l) = \wp(z).$$

$$\sigma(-z) = -\sigma(z), \qquad \zeta(-z) = -\zeta(z), \qquad \wp(-z) = \wp(z). \tag{11}$$

In the vicinity of $z = 0$ the Weierstrass functions have the expansions

$$\sigma(z) = z + O(z^5), \qquad \zeta(z) = z^{-1} + O(z^3), \qquad \wp(z) = z^{-2} + O(z^2).$$

The spectral invariants $\mathrm{tr}L(u)^n$, $n = 1, \ldots, N$ are independant commuting quantities [5]. For instance, the total momentum $\mathcal{P} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N p_\alpha$ and the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ (3) are obtained from

$$\mathrm{tr}L(u) = \mathcal{P}, \qquad \mathrm{tr}L(u)^2 = \mathcal{H} - V(u), \tag{12}$$

the last equality following from the identity

$$Q(u,q_{\alpha\beta})Q(u,q_{\beta\alpha}) = V(u) - V(q_{\alpha\beta}) \tag{13}$$

which follows, in turn, from

$$-\frac{\sigma(u-v)\sigma(u+v)}{\sigma^2(u)\sigma^2(v)} = \wp(u) - \wp(v), \tag{14}$$

see [1].

Proposition 1 *For the L-operator (8) the identity (5) holds with the following matrices* $r^{(12)}$ *et* $r^{(21)}$

$$r^{(12)}(u,v) = a\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} E^{\alpha\alpha}_{\alpha\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha\neq\beta} c_{\alpha\beta}E^{\alpha\beta}_{\beta\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha\neq\beta} d_{\alpha\beta}(E^{\alpha\alpha}_{\alpha\beta} + E^{\beta\alpha}_{\beta\beta}) \tag{15}$$

where

$$E^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}_{\beta_1\beta_2} \equiv E^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1}\otimes E^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_2}$$

$$\begin{gathered} a = r^{\alpha\alpha}_{\alpha\alpha} = -\zeta(u-v) - \zeta(v), \qquad c_{\alpha\beta} = r^{\alpha\beta}_{\beta\alpha} = -Q(u-v,q_{\alpha\beta}), \\ d_{\alpha\beta} = r^{\alpha\alpha}_{\alpha\beta} = r^{\beta\alpha}_{\beta\beta} = -\tfrac{1}{2}Q(v,q_{\alpha\beta}), \end{gathered} \tag{16}$$

$r^{(21)}$ *being defined by (6)*

Proof. Notice, first of all, that, due to (16), the tensor $r^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}_{\beta_1\beta_2}$ has nonzero components only for combinations of four indices $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\alpha_1\alpha_2\\ \beta_1\beta_2\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ having no more than *two* different indices. This property of r simplifies greatly verification of the identity (5) since, in this case, it suffices to consider only the combinations $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\alpha_1\alpha_2\\ \beta_1\beta_2\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ in (4) having no more than *three* different indices. The obvious symmetry of (16) with respect to the permutations of indices allows to reduce the task to the verification of (4) for only 14 combinations: $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}11\\11\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}11\\12\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}11\\21\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\11\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\22\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\12\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\21\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}11\\22\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\13\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\32\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}11\\23\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\33\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\31\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\23\end{smallmatrix}\right]$.

Substituting (15) into (4) results for the above combinations of indices in the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\begin{smallmatrix}11\\11\end{smallmatrix}\right]: &\quad \{L^1_1(u),L^1_1(v)\} &&= 0,\\
\left[\begin{smallmatrix}11\\12\end{smallmatrix}\right]: &\quad \{L^1_1(u),L^1_2(v)\} &&= -L^1_2(u)c_{21}(u-v) - a(v,u)L^1_2(v),\\
\left[\begin{smallmatrix}11\\21\end{smallmatrix}\right]: &\quad \{L^1_2(u),L^1_1(v)\} &&= a(u,v)L^1_2(u) + L^1_2(v)c_{21}(v-u),\\
\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\11\end{smallmatrix}\right]: &\quad \{L^1_1(u),L^2_1(v)\} &&= c_{12}(u-v)L^2_1(u) + L^2_1(v)a(v,u),\\
\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\22\end{smallmatrix}\right]: &\quad \{L^1_2(u),L^2_2(v)\} &&= -L^1_2(u)a(u,v) - c_{21}(v-u)L^1_2(v),\\
\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\12\end{smallmatrix}\right]: &\quad \{L^1_1(u),L^2_2(v)\} &&= 0,\\
\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\21\end{smallmatrix}\right]: &\quad \{L^1_2(u),L^2_1(v)\} &&= c_{12}(u-v)(L^2_2(u) - L^1_1(u)) + c_{21}(v-u)(L^2_2(v) - L^1_1(v)),\\
\left[\begin{smallmatrix}11\\22\end{smallmatrix}\right]: &\quad \{L^1_2(u),L^1_2(v)\} &&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\13\end{smallmatrix}\right]: \quad \{L_1^1(u), L_3^2(v)\} &= 0,\\
\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\32\end{smallmatrix}\right]: \quad \{L_3^1(u), L_2^2(v)\} &= 0,\\
\left[\begin{smallmatrix}11\\23\end{smallmatrix}\right]: \quad \{L_2^1(u), L_3^1(v)\} &= d_{13}(v)L_2^1(u) - d_{12}(u)L_3^1(v),\\
\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\33\end{smallmatrix}\right]: \quad \{L_3^1(u), L_3^2(v)\} &= -L_3^1(u)d_{23}(v) + L_3^2(v)d_{13}(u),\\
\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\31\end{smallmatrix}\right]: \quad \{L_3^1(u), L_1^2(v)\} &= d_{21}(v)L_3^1(u) + c_{12}(u-v)L_3^2(u)\\
&\quad + L_1^2(v)d_{13}(u) + L_3^2(v)c_{31}(v-u),\\
\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\23\end{smallmatrix}\right]: \quad \{L_2^1(u), L_3^2(v)\} &= -L_2^1(u)d_{23}(v) - L_3^1(u)c_{32}(u-v)\\
&\quad - d_{12}(u)L_3^2(v) - c_{21}(v-u)L_3^1(v).
\end{aligned}
$$

Using then the substitution (7) one obtains the trivial identities $0 = 0$ for $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\alpha_1\alpha_2\\\beta_1\beta_2\end{smallmatrix}\right] = \left[\begin{smallmatrix}11\\11\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\12\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}11\\22\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\13\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\32\end{smallmatrix}\right]$. After the substitutions (16) the same trivial identity is obtained for $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\alpha_1\alpha_2\\\beta_1\beta_2\end{smallmatrix}\right] = \left[\begin{smallmatrix}11\\23\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\33\end{smallmatrix}\right]$.

In the same manner, the case $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\21\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ is reduced to the equality

$$0 = (p_1 - p_2)[Q(u-v, q_{12}) + Q(v-u, q_{21})]$$

which follows from the identity

$$Q(-u, -q) = -Q(u, q) \tag{17}$$

resulting from (9) and (11).

Analogously, for $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\alpha_1\alpha_2\\\beta_1\beta_2\end{smallmatrix}\right] = \left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\31\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\23\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ one obtains, respectively, the identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &= Q(v, q_{21})Q(u, q_{13}) + Q(u-v, q_{12})Q(u, q_{23}) + Q(v, q_{23})Q(v-u, q_{31})\\
0 &= Q(u, q_{12})Q(v, q_{23}) + Q(u, q_{13})Q(u-v, q_{32}) + Q(v-u, q_{21})Q(v, q_{13})
\end{aligned}
$$

which, after substitution of (9), are reduced to the standard three-term quartic identity for σ-function [15]

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sigma(x-y)\sigma(x+y)\sigma(z-t)\sigma(z+t)\\
+\;&\sigma(y-z)\sigma(y+z)\sigma(x-t)\sigma(x+t)\\
+\;&\sigma(z-x)\sigma(z+x)\sigma(y-t)\sigma(y+t) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}
$$

Similarly, the remaining cases $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\alpha_1\alpha_2\\\beta_1\beta_2\end{smallmatrix}\right] = \left[\begin{smallmatrix}11\\12\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}11\\21\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\11\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\22\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ lead, respectively, to the identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\zeta(v+q_{12}) - \zeta(q_{12})]Q(v, q_{12}) &= Q(u-v, q_{21})Q(u, q_{12}) + [\zeta(v-u) + \zeta(u)]Q(v, q_{12}),\\
[\zeta(u+q_{12}) - \zeta(q_{12})]Q(u, q_{12}) &= Q(v-u, q_{21})Q(v, q_{12}) + [\zeta(u-v) + \zeta(v)]Q(u, q_{12}),\\
[\zeta(v+q_{21}) - \zeta(q_{21})]Q(v, q_{21}) &= Q(u-v, q_{12})Q(u, q_{21}) + [\zeta(v-u) + \zeta(u)]Q(v, q_{21}),\\
[\zeta(u+q_{12}) - \zeta(q_{12})]Q(u, q_{12}) &= Q(v-u, q_{21})Q(v, q_{12}) + [\zeta(u-v) + \zeta(v)]Q(v, q_{12}),
\end{aligned}
$$

which are reduced to another standard identity [15]

$$\zeta(x+z) - \zeta(x-z) + \zeta(y-z) - \zeta(y+z) = \frac{\sigma(2z)\sigma(x+y)\sigma(x-y)}{\sigma(x+z)\sigma(x-z)\sigma(y+z)\sigma(y-z)} \tag{19}$$

being a derivative form of (18).

3 Degenerate cases

The degenerate cases discussed below correspond to the infinite values of one or both of the periods $\omega_{1,2}$. The corresponding potential $V(q)$ becomes respectively a trigonometric/hyperbolic or rational function of q. According to [5] we shall mark these cases as I ($V(q) = q^{-2}$), II ($V(q) = \sinh^{-2} q$), and III ($V(q) = \sin^{-2} q$), the case IV corresponding to the original elliptic potential $V(q) = \wp(q)$.

In the case II the periods are $\omega_1 = \infty$, $\omega_2 = \pi i/2$, the Weierstrass functions degenerate into [1]

$$\sigma(z) = \sinh z \exp\left[-\frac{z^2}{6}\right], \qquad \zeta(z) = \coth z - \frac{z}{3}, \qquad \wp(z) = \frac{1}{\sinh^2 z} + \frac{1}{3} \tag{20}$$

and $Q(u,q)$, see (9), into

$$Q(u,q) = \frac{\sinh(u+q)}{\sinh u \sinh q} \exp\left[-\frac{uq}{3}\right] \tag{21}$$

One can simplify the corresponding L operator (8) removing the factors $\mathrm{e}^{-uq_{\alpha\beta}/3}$ by means of the similarity transform

$$L(u) \longrightarrow L'(u) = W(u)L(u)W^{-1}(u), \qquad W^\alpha_\beta(u) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\mathrm{e}^{uq_\alpha/3}. \tag{22}$$

Calculating the Poisson bracket $\{L'^{(1)}(u), L'^{(2)}(v)\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\{L'^{(1)}, L'^{(2)}\} &= \{W^{(1)}L^{(1)}(W^{(1)})^{-1}, W^{(2)}L^{(2)}(W^{(2)})^{-1}\} \\
&= W^{(1)}W^{(2)}\{L^{(1)}, L^{(2)}\}(W^{(1)})^{-1}(W^{(2)})^{-1} \\
&\quad +W^{(2)}\{W^{(1)}, L^{(2)}\}(W^{(2)})^{-1}L^{(1)}(W^{(1)})^{-1} \\
&\quad -W^{(2)}W^{(1)}L^{(1)}(W^{(1)})^{-1}\{W^{(1)}, L^{(2)}\}(W^{(1)})^{-1}(W^{(2)})^{-1} \\
&\quad +W^{(1)}\{L^{(1)}, W^{(2)}\}(W^{(1)})^{-1}L^{(2)}(W^{(2)})^{-1} \\
&\quad -W^{(1)}W^{(2)}L^{(2)}(W^{(2)})^{-1}\{L^{(1)}, W^{(2)}\}(W^{(2)})^{-1}(W^{(1)})^{-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

(we have omitted the arguments u and v which are easy to restore) and using the identities

$$\begin{aligned}
W^{(2)}\{W^{(1)}, L^{(2)}\}(W^{(2)})^{-1}(W^{(1)})^{-1} &= -\frac{u}{3}E \\
W^{(1)}\{L^{(1)}, W^{(2)}\}(W^{(1)})^{-1}(W^{(2)})^{-1} &= \frac{v}{3}E
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$E = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} E^{\alpha\alpha}_{\alpha\alpha}$$

one can show that L' satisfies again the relation (5) with the modified r matrix which is also described by the formulas (15) and (16) where one should replace the Weierstrass functions with

$$\sigma(z) \longrightarrow \sinh z, \qquad \zeta(z) \longrightarrow \coth z, \qquad \wp \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\sinh^2 z} \tag{24}$$

and, respectively,

$$Q(u,q) \longrightarrow \frac{\sinh(u+q)}{\sinh u \sinh q} = \coth u + \coth q. \tag{25}$$

Quite analogously, the case III, corresponding to the periods $\omega_1 = \pi/2$ and $\omega_2 = i\infty$, is reduced to the substitutions

$$\sigma(z) \longrightarrow \sin z, \qquad \zeta(z) \longrightarrow \cot z, \qquad \wp \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\sin^2 z},$$

and

$$Q(u,q) \longrightarrow \frac{\sin(u+q)}{\sin u \sin q} = \cot u + \cot q.$$

The case I corresponds to the periods $\omega_1 = \infty$ and $\omega_2 = i\infty$ and to the substitutions

$$\sigma(z) \longrightarrow z, \qquad \zeta(z) \longrightarrow \frac{1}{z}, \qquad \wp \longrightarrow \frac{1}{z^2}, \tag{26}$$

and

$$Q(u,q) \longrightarrow \frac{u+q}{uq} = \frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{q}. \tag{27}$$

In [10] the r matrices were calculated for the I, II and III type potentials and the L operators containing no spectral parameters. For the case I the L operator used in [10] has the form (8) with $Q(u,q)$ replaced by q^{-1}. The corresponding r matrix has the structure (15) with

$$a = 0, \qquad c_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{q_{\alpha\beta}}, \qquad d_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2q_{\alpha\beta}} \tag{28}$$

It is easy to see that the above result can be reproduced from ours (26), (27) by taking the limits $u, v \longrightarrow \infty$. The cases II and III need, however, some tricks.

It is sufficient to consider the case II since the case III is obtained by the obvious change of the hyperbolic functions into the trigonometric ones. For the case II the L operator used in [10] has the form (8) with $Q(u,q)$ replaced by $1/\sinh q$. The corresponding r matrix has the structure (15) with

$$a = 0, \qquad c_{\alpha\beta} = -\coth q_{\alpha\beta}, \qquad d_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2\sinh q_{\alpha\beta}} \tag{29}$$

In order to reproduce this result let us start with the L operator and the r matrix given by (8), (15) and (24), (25) and take the limit

$$u \longrightarrow +\infty, \qquad Q(u,q) \longrightarrow \frac{\mathrm{e}^q}{\sinh q}.$$

Removing the factors $\mathrm{e}^{q_{\alpha\beta}}$ from L^α_β by means of the similarity transform

$$L \longrightarrow WLW^{-1}, \qquad W^\alpha_\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\mathrm{e}^{-q_\alpha}$$

one obtains the L operator used in [10]. The modified r matrix is calculated then as previously (23) with the only difference that one has to coordinate the limits of u and v. The simplest choice is $u - v = \pi i/2$. It allows to avoid the pole $(u-v)^{-1}$ and to preserve the relation (6). The resulting r matrix coincides with the one found in [10].

4 Generalized Yang-Baxter equation

It is well known that for a purely numeric r matrix a sufficient condition for the Poisson bracket defined by (5) to satisfy the Jacobi identity is the classical Yang-Baxter equation [7].

$$[r^{(12)}, r^{(13)}] + [r^{(12)}, r^{(23)}] - [r^{(13)}, r^{(32)}] = 0 \tag{30}$$

(in this section we omit, for the sake of brevity, the spectral parameters u, v, w corresponding, respectively, to the spaces 1, 2, 3 in the tensor product $\mathbf{C}^N \otimes \mathbf{C}^N \otimes \mathbf{C}^N$).

The natural question arises of a proper generalization of (30) for the dynamical r matrices. Starting with the Jacobi identity for the triple $L^{(1)}$, $L^{(2)}$, $L^{(3)}$

$$\{\{L^{(1)}, L^{(2)}\}, L^{(3)}\} + \{\{L^{(2)}, L^{(3)}\}, L^{(1)}\} + \{\{L^{(3)}, L^{(1)}\}, L^{(2)}\} = 0 \tag{31}$$

and using (5) to estimate the Poisson bracket of two L operators we obtain the equality [6, 8]

$$[R^{(123)}, L^{(1)}] + [R^{(231)}, L^{(2)}] + [R^{(312)}, L^{(3)}] = 0, \tag{32}$$

where

$$R^{(123)} \equiv r^{(123)} - \{r^{(13)}, L^{(2)}\} + \{r^{(12)}, L^{(3)}\},$$

$r^{(123)}$ being the left-hand-side of (30).

Trying to satisfy (32) with the ansatz

$$R^{(123)} = [X^{(123)}, L^{(2)}] - [Y^{(123)}, L^{(3)}] \tag{33}$$

we obtain the relation between X and Y

$$Y^{(123)} = X^{(312)} \tag{34}$$

or, at length,

$$Y^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}_{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3}(u, v, w) = X^{\alpha_3\alpha_1\alpha_2}_{\beta_3\beta_1\beta_2}(w, u, v). \tag{35}$$

We are led thus to the following generalization of the Yang-Baxter equation for dynamical r matrices

$$\begin{aligned} [r^{(12)}, r^{13)}] + [r^{(12)}, r^{(23)}] - [r^{(13)}, r^{(32)}] & \\ -\{r^{(13)}, L^{(2)}\} + \{r^{(12)}, L^{(3)}\} & \\ -[X^{(123)}, L^{(2)}] + [X^{(312)}, L^{(3)}] &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

involving a new object — the X matrix.

Notice that our ansatz (33) for $R^{(123)}$ is more general than that proposed in [13]:

$$R^{(123)} = [X^{(123)}, L^{(2)} - L^{(3)}],$$

$X^{(123)}$ being assumed completely symmetric with respect to the permutations of 123.

Proposition 2 *For the L operator (8) and the r matrix (15) the identity (36) holds with the following matrices* $X^{(123)}$ *and* $X^{(312)}$

$$X^{(123)}(u,v,w) = -i \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} Q(w, q_{\alpha\beta}) \left[-\frac{5}{8} E^{\alpha\alpha\alpha}_{\alpha\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{8} E^{\beta\beta\alpha}_{\beta\beta\beta} + \frac{1}{4} E^{\alpha\beta\alpha}_{\alpha\beta\beta} + \frac{1}{4} E^{\beta\alpha\alpha}_{\beta\alpha\beta} \right], \quad (37)$$

where

$$E^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}_{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3} \equiv E^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1} \otimes E^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_2} \otimes E^{\alpha_3}_{\beta_3},$$

$X^{(312)}$ *being given by (34), (35).*

Proof. The verification of (36) is quite similar to that of (5) in the proof of the Proposition 1. Again, one notices that, since the tensor $X^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}_{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3}$ has nonzero components only for combinations of six indices $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\\ \beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ having no more than *two* different indices, it suffices to consider only the combinations $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\\ \beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ having no more than *three* different indices. The obvious symmetry of (37) with respect to the permutations of indices allows to restrict the list of the combinations to be verified with the total of $1+31+90 = 122$ items, which is, however, too much to be done by hands. Fortunately, a computer-assisted calculation shows that 102 of 122 equalities result, after substitutions (8), (16) and (37), in the trivial identities $0 = 0$. It remains to verify only quite manageable amount of 20 identities corresponding to the combinations $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\\ \beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\end{smallmatrix}\right] = \left[\begin{smallmatrix}121\\111\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}112\\111\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}111\\121\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}111\\112\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}121\\211\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}121\\112\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}112\\211\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}112\\121\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}122\\121\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}122\\112\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}121\\122\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}112\\122\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}122\\221\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}122\\212\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}112\\123\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}121\\132\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}123\\112\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}123\\131\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}123\\231\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}123\\312\end{smallmatrix}\right]$.

These 20 identities can be divided into 3 groups. The first group includes 6 identities corresponding to the combinations $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}112\\123\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}121\\132\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}123\\112\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}123\\131\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}123\\231\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}123\\312\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ which are analogous to the identities $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\31\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\23\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ (see the proof of the Proposition 1) and are reduced to the standard identity (18).

The second group includes 12 identities corresponding to the combinations $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}121\\111\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}112\\111\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}111\\121\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}111\\112\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}121\\211\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}112\\211\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}122\\121\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}122\\112\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}121\\122\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}112\\122\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}122\\221\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}122\\212\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, which, like the identities $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}11\\12\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}11\\21\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\11\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12\\22\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ from the quoted proof, are reduced to the second standard identity (19).

The remaining 2 combinations $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}121\\112\end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix}112\\121\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ lead, respectively, to the identities

$$\zeta(u-v) + \zeta(v) - \zeta(u-w) - \zeta(w) = \frac{Q(u-v,q_{12})Q(u-w,q_{21}) - Q(v,q_{21})Q(w,q_{12})}{Q(v-w,q_{21})},$$
$$\zeta(u-v) + \zeta(v) - \zeta(u-w) - \zeta(w) = \frac{Q(u-v,q_{21})Q(u-w,q_{12}) - Q(v,q_{12})Q(w,q_{21})}{Q(v-w,q_{12})}$$

which are reduced to (18) after applying first (19) to the left-hand side.

5 Discussion

We have calculated the dynamical r matrix for the elliptic Calogero-Moser model containing the spectral parameter. We have shown that the Poisson algebra of L and

r is not closed: r satisfies a generalized version of the classical Yang-Baxter equation (36) which involves a new object — the X matrix. In fact, there might exist more Yang-Baxter type identities involving for instance $\{X^{(123)}, L^{(4)}\}$ etc. which might give rise to an infinite sequence of r matrices of higher dimensions. A remarkable feature of the matrices r and X for the elliptic Calogero-Moser model is that they depend only on coordinates q_α, cf. [9]. Hopefully, this property will hold also for the higher r matrices.

All these questions are still waiting for an investigation. To resolve them, probably some geometrical interpretation of the L operator and r matrics might be useful. For the case of trigonometric potential and the L operator containing no spectral parameter such an interpretation in terms of the hamiltonian reduction exists [5, 16] and has been applied recently to construct geometrically the corresponding r matrix [11]. For the cases of elliptic potential and the L operator with spectral parameter no geometrical interpretation is known so far.

The dynamical r matrix (15) could provide, possibly, a mean to construct a separation of variables for the Calogero-Moser model in the same manner as in the case of numerical r matrices for integrable magnetic chains [17]. The work in this direction is in progress.

About the quantum version of the elliptic Calogero-Moser model almost nothing is known so far, except a construction of commutative quantum integrals of motion [18]. A possible way to approach quantization of the model could be to look for a quantum version of the relations (5) and (36).

A natural generalization of the Calogero-Moser model is its relativistic version [19]. In this case, the Poisson bracket of L operators can also be represented in an r matrix form, though the right-hand-side is now quadratic in L. The r matrices again depend only on the coordinates q_α, see [12] and [20] for the cases, respectively, of the L operator without, and with the spectral parameter.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to J. Avan, O. Babelon, J.-M. Maillet and M. Talon for valuable discussions. I thank the Laboratory of Theoretical Physics of the University Paris-VI for hospitality.

References

[1] H. Bateman, A. Erdélyi. Higher Transcentental Functions. vol. II. N.Y.: McGraw-Hill, 1953

[2] F. Calogero. Exactly solvable one-dimensional many-body problems. Lett. Nuovo Cim. **13** (1975) 411–416

[3] F. Calogero. On a functional equation connected with integrable many-body problems. Lett. Nuovo Cim. **16** (1976) 77–80

[4] J. Moser. Three integrable Hamiltonian systems connected with isospectral deformations. Adv. Math. **16** (1975) 1–23

[5] M. A. Olshanetsky, A. M. Perelomov. Classical integrable finite dimensional systems related to Lie algebras. Phys. Rep. **71** (1981) 313–400

[6] O. Babelon, C.-M. Viallet. Hamiltonian structures and Lax equations. Phys. Lett. **237** (1990) 411–416

[7] L. D. Faddeev, L. A. Takhtajan. Hamiltonian methods in the theory of solitons. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1987

[8] J.-M. Maillet. New integrable canonical structures in two-dimensional models. Nucl. Phys. **B269** (1986) 54–76

[9] A. Yu. Alekseev, L. D. Faddeev. $(T^*G)_t$: A toy model for conformal field theory. Commun. Math. Phys. **141** (1991) 413–422

[10] J. Avan, M. Talon. Classical R-matrix structure for the Calogero model. Phys. Lett. **B303** (1993) 33–37

[11] J. Avan, O. Babelon, M. Talon. Construction of the classical R-matrices for the Toda and Calogero models. PAR LPTHE 93-31, June 1993; hep-th/9306102

[12] O. Babelon, D. Bernard. The sine-Gordon solitons as a N-body problem. SPhT-93-072; LPTHE-93-40;

[13] J. C. Eilbeck, V. Z. Enolskii, V. B. Kuznetsov, A. V. Tsiganov. Linear r-matrix algebra for classical separable systems. Preprint Univ. of Amsterdam, Math. ser., 93-11; hep-th/9306155

[14] I. M. Krichever. Elliptic solutions of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation and integrable systems of particles. Func. Anal. Appl. **14** (1980) 282–290

[15] G.-H. Halphen. Traité des fonctions elliptiques et de leurs applications. 1re Partie. Paris, Gauthier-Villars, 1886, p. 187

[16] D. Kazhdan, B. Kostant, S. Sternberg. Hamiltonian group actions and dynamical systems of Calogero type. Commun. on Pure and Appl. Math. **31** 1978) 481–507

[17] E. K. Sklyanin. Separation of variables in the classical integrable $SL(3)$ magnetic chain. Commun. Math. Phys., **150** (1992) 181–191

[18] M. A. Olshanetsky, A. M. Perelomov. Quantum integrable systems related to Lie algebras. Phys. Rep. **94** (1983) 313–404

[19] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars. Complete integrability of relativistic Calogero-Moser systems and elliptic identities. Commun. Math. Phys. **110** (1987) 191–214

[20] E. K. Sklyanin, in preparation